Display Board Criteria





Judging is based on the thoroughness and creativity of the display board. Judges should evaluate each board using the topics on this rubric and the depth of explanation provided by the inventor.

Criteria	Description	Points Possible
Content	Minimal to Average:	0-6
	Includes name of invention, inventor's name and grade, plus patent status and school/state.	
	Essential design process content (in paragraphs or bullet points): problem statement, brainstorming ideas, test and revise/various iterations and improvements.	
	Above Average to Excellent:	
	Quality of required essential criteria (explained above) is a deciding factor. Contains all essential content but also includes in-depth, thorough descriptions and details (age appropriate).	
	Options for going above and beyond include but are not limited to: pictures, statistics, market potential, depth of the problem, age-appropriate research citations (beyond Google), interviews, analysis.	
Visual Appeal	Minimal to average:	0-4
	Instantly grabs viewer's attention. All items are spelled correctly, mounted and cut neatly (age appropriate). Uses color scheme. Adds visual appeal. Clean, neat, colorful, eye-catching display.	
	Above Average to Excellent:	
	Unique aspects, original factors making display pop, full of essential content but well-organized and not crowded.	

Process

- Display board judges will be trained on the Invention Convention Worldwide Judging Training Webinar.
- Judges will score boards using the criteria above on a 10-point scale.
- Boards that receive a 10 will be reviewed by the Judging Committee and a winner selected.